

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 JOSEPH ALEXANDER MERVIS,

8 Plaintiff(s),

9 v.

10 MGM INTERNATIONAL,

11 Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:17-CV-2605 JCM (NJK)

ORDER

12
13 Presently before the court is defendant MGM International's motion to dismiss. (ECF No.
14 6). Plaintiff has not filed a response, and the time for doing so has since passed.

15 Local Rule 7-2(d) states that "[t]he failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities
16 in response to any motion, except a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 or a motion for attorney's
17 fees, constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion."

18 "Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal." *Ghazali*
19 *v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52. In *Ghazali*, defendants filed a motion to dismiss. *Id.* at 53. Plaintiff, who
20 represented himself *pro se*, failed to oppose defendant's motion. *Id.* at 54. The court granted
21 defendant's motion based on plaintiff's failure to file an opposition. *Id.* at 53. The Ninth Circuit
22 upheld the decision of the district court. *Id.* at 54. "[P]ro se litigants are bound by the rules of
23 procedure. [Plaintiff] did not follow them, and his case was properly dismissed." *Id.*

24 "Before dismissing an action [for failure to follow local rules], the district court is required
25 to weigh several factors: '(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the
26 court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy
27 favoring disposition of cases of their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic sanctions.'" *Id.*
28 (quoting *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir. 1986)).

1 Here, as plaintiff has not opposed the motion to dismiss, it has consented to the granting of
2 the motion. LR 7-2(d). Further, the *Ghazali* factors favor dismissal of plaintiff's complaint. *See*
3 46 F.3d at 54. This case was transferred from the Northern District of Ohio, and plaintiff has failed
4 to appear since the case was transferred to the District of Nevada. Plaintiff's failure to appear
5 prejudices defendant and strains the court's ability to manage its docket. *See id.* In addition, the
6 court has reviewed defendant's motion, and the motion presents meritorious arguments that favor
7 dismissal of plaintiff's complaint.

8 As the court will grant defendant's motion based on plaintiff's failure to respond, which
9 defendant highlights in its notice of consent, (ECF No. 9), the court will dismiss plaintiff's
10 complaint without prejudice.


11 Accordingly,

12 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that defendant's motion to
13 dismiss (ECF No. 6) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

14 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's complaint be, and the same hereby is,
15 DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

16 The clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close the case.

17 DATED February 6, 2018.

18 
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28